Results of the Utah Post High School Outcomes Survey

Area			ne Otan I	ata			What It Means		
Demographics	Survey	# of	# in	Response	Statewide Re	spondents Not	A bigger effort must be made to		
Demograpmes	Year	Respondents	Population	Rate	Representati		obtain current contact		
	2010	887	2853	31%	Black youth, I	EBD, drop-out	information for minority youth,		
	2009	467	1624	29%	Black youth,		youth with EBD, and youth who		
	2008	573	2007	29%	Black youth, o	•	dropped-out.		
	2007	358	1093	33%	Black youth s	ample under-	The state respondents represent		
					represented,	but matches	the state sample.		
					state populat	ion			
Independent	• 70% of youth with disabilities continue to live with their parents after exiting HS.						Consider the need for including independent living skills IEP goals		
Living (2009)	The percentage of youth in Wisconsin living independent of their parents is si								
	youth na	,	for minority youth and youth with EBD. • Fewer youth planned to live independently in 2009 than 2008,						
		higher % of fen							
		youth, youth wi							
		ost likely to live							
		ith CD are most I	however more are living as they						
		% in 2008] planr	planned.						
		% in 2008] repo							
Leisure Activity		outh have partic	The higher % of Wisconsin youth participate in leisure activities						
	 Minority 	youth and yout							
Deliver's Linear	- 070/ 50-	n/ := 20001 ··	than do nationally (73%)						
Driver's License			d with a valid driv		. , ,.		• The % with a driver's license is		
			e likely than male		closely tied to % that socialize and				
	2008.	ercentage of fen	nales obtaining a	valid driver s i	icense in 2009 (compared to	the % that have a job in the		
		outh are significa	community.						
	-	ith EBD and yout							
Adult Agency			ndents report usi				- 40/ F0/ -f +h - cuit h		
and Support		r Dept. of Health	ident Living	• 4% - 5% of the exiters have a CD.					
and Support		% in 2008] of res	omployment	• The % seeking DVR services has steadily increased over the past 3					
			years.						
Post Secondary	agency such as DVR % Participating						The group that increased		
Education	-	Туре	2009		2008	2007	participation most was females.		
	2-Yr Colleg	e	13%		13%	6%	 Consistently over the survey years, 		
		e/University	13%		9%	12%	youth indicate participating in		
	Technical C	·	31%		27%	26%	more than one type of		
		Term Training	5%		3%	6%	postsecondary ed. program.		
	GED		9%		8%	20%	The percentage of youth who		
	Other Type	es .	2%		2%	2%	discontinue a postsecondary		
	Any Postse		53%		45%	46%	program before completing within		
	Education/	/Training					the first year of leaving HS has		
	• The perc	entage of youth	remained stable over all of the						
	increase	d from 2008 to 2	survey years [7% 2008 and 2009]						
Self-Advocacy		ige of 54% [48%		 Youth disclosing their disability is 					
and Disclosure	-	themselves as ha	 increasing over time. Teaching self-advocacy skills is very important to their success in 						
	educatio								
		outh and youth							
		few youth who	postsecondary education						
		lose their disabi	programs.						
		survey years.							
 40% [49% in 2008] are not requesting any type of assistance. Assistive Youth with CD, S/L impairments, and EBD request AT/Accommodations least. 							These halossessits with discharge		
Assistive							These help youth with disabilities		
Technology(AT)/ Accommodations	• iviore yo	uth used AT/Acc	succeed at their place of						
Accommodations	• CC0/ [FO	0/ in 20001	and to books as at		- حنطخنیہ معنوی	and waar of UC	postsecondary education.		
HS IEP Planning		% in 2008] planr	A higher % of youth planned to attend postsecondary ed. and a higher % participated as planned.						
113 ILF FIGHTHING	51% [42	% in 2008] repo							
Attrition and	A Thotom	roacone vouth be	higher % participated as planned						
Non-Participation		easons youth ha o (many plan to t	• Very few (3%) are not attending because they are on waiting lists.						
i von raiticipation	obligation		.ake a year OII), O	i triey could fi	ot anoru it. Ot	ners report idillily	because they are on waiting lists.		
	obligatio	113.					I		

			% Participating	• In 2009, fewer youth worked 35		
Employment	Туре	2009	2008	2007	hours per week or more, more	
	Any Employment	85%	86%	83%	earned minimum wage and fewer	
	Employed at time of survey	68%	70%	68%	received a raise or benefits.	
	Job in the community	91%	90%	89%	Male and female youth are as	
	Min. Wage or Above	86%	88%	84%	likely to be employed, however a	
	35 Hrs./Wk or More	46% 68%	50%	48%	higher % of male youth have	
	20 Hrs./Wk or More		72%	66%	received a raise and have benefits than female youth.	
	Raise	42%	48%	48%	White youth are employed at a	
	Benefits	31%	40%	33%	significantly higher rate than	
	Competitive. Employ-Full/Part	68%	71%	68%	minority youth and are more	
	Competitive Employ-Full Time	33%	32%	33%	likely to have received a raise and have benefits. Youth who dropped-out were the least likely to be employed or receive a raise	
Employment	• 42% [44% in 2008] found their ow	The greatest number of youth				
Assistance	 5% [6% in 2008] had assistance fro 14% [15% in 2008] talked to DVR a 20% [15% in 2008] talked to some 	 found their own jobs or had help from family or friends. One-fifth sought assistance from former HS personnel. 				
Self-Advocacy	• 3% [4% in 2008] requested an acco	While few youth ask for				
and Disclosure/ Accommodation s	 100% [69% in 2008] received the a Accommodations included a job sh 	accommodations, many/all receive what they ask for, indicating the need to teach self-advocacy skills				
HS Transition Experiences	• 58% [61% in 2008] had paid emplo • 41% [37% in 2008] have kept their	 For all the survey years, the % employed in the community mirrors the % employed after HS Many youth keep their HS jobs for a long time, indicating the importance of quality HS jobs 				
HS IEP Planning	 53% [54% in 208] planned to begi 58% [61% in 2008] report being e 	There was little change in the percentage of youth who planned to enter employment from 2008 to 2009.				
Non- Employment/ unemployment	 The majority of youth are unemple and 31% in 2008]. 17% [0% in 2008] were recently lai 0% [0% in 2008] are not unemploy SSI benefits 0% [0% in 2008] are not unemploy waiting list 	 More than one-third of youth are not working because they cannot find work, and increase from 2008. No youth in the past 2 survey years indicate unemployment because they would lose SSI benefits or because they are on a waiting list. 				
Indicator 14			% Participating		The percentage of those	
	Туре	2009	2008	2007	competitively employed	
	Indicator 14	70.4%	68.8%	65.0%	decreased from 2009 to 2008,	
	Postsecondary Ed. Only	39% 16% 15%	31%	32% 18% 14%	 and the percentage participating in postsecondary education increased. The percentage doing both 	
	Competitive Employment Only		24%			
	Post Secondary AND Competitive Employment	increased slightly.				
Possible Areas of Consideration	 Since a high % of youth continues postsecondary education or emploadvocacy and self-determination a Since statewide outcomes are not youth who drop-out, districts may 	 What do your local outcomes reveal? How do they compare to the statewide results? What tools can your district use for analysis and planning? 				